

REVIEWED

enough to generate a flat surface. The average surface roughness increased according to the drop spacing increase.

For multi-layer conductive tracks printing, the conductivity and pattern quality of the tracks depends on the printing drop spacing and the underneath insulating surface. Using less than 50% of the printing overlap couldn't achieve an ideal conductivity, while overload the ink by largely reducing the drop spacing may generate a rough pattern. The insulating surface underneath the tracks also plays an important role to the conductivity. A flat surface underneath can help to achieve higher conductivity than a rough surface underneath.

Reference

1. Piqué, A. and D.B. Chrisey, *Direct-write Technologies for Rapid Prototyping Applications: Sensors, Electronics, and Integrated Power Sources* 2002: Academic Press.
2. *IS&T's DF06*. in *the International Conference on Digital Fabrication Technologies*. 2008. Pittsburg, PA: Society for Imaging Science and Technology.
3. Korvink, J.G., et al., *Inkjet-based Micromanufacturing* 2012: Wiley.
4. Kamyshny, A., J. Steinke, and S. Magdassi, *Metal-based Inkjet Inks for Printed Electronics*. *The Open Applied Physics Journal*, 2011. **4**: p. 19-36.
5. Perelaer, J., et al., *Printed electronics: the challenges involved in printing devices, interconnects, and contacts based on inorganic materials*. *Journal of Materials Chemistry*, 2010. **20**(39): p. 8446.
6. Lim, S., et al., *Inkjet Printing and Sintering of Nano-Copper Ink*. *Journal of Imaging Science and Technology*, 2013. **57**(5): p. 1-7.
7. Hübler, A.C., et al., *Three-dimensional integrated circuit using printed electronics*. *Organic Electronics*, 2011. **12**(3): p. 419-423.
8. Sanchez-Romaguera, V., M.-B. Madec, and S.G. Yeates, *Inkjet printing of 3D metal-insulator-metal crossovers*. *Reactive and Functional Polymers*, 2008. **68**(6): p. 1052-1058.